



ELSEVIER

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

SCIENCE @ DIRECT®

Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 200 (2005) 71–85

JOURNAL OF
PURE AND
APPLIED ALGEBRAwww.elsevier.com/locate/jpaa

Classification of irreducible integrable representations for the full toroidal lie algebras[☆]

S. Eswara Rao^a, Cuipo Jiang^{b,*}^a*School of Mathematics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Homi Bhabha Road, Mumbai 400005, India*^b*Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Jiaotong University, Shanghai 200030, China*

Received 23 June 2004; received in revised form 27 November 2004

Available online 3 March 2005

Communicated by C. Kassel

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to classify irreducible integrable modules of the full toroidal Lie-algebras, with finite-dimensional weight spaces and non-zero central charge.

© 2005 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

MSC: 17B65; 17B67; 17B68

1. Introduction

It is well known that the representation theory of Virasoro algebra and affine Kac–Moody Lie-algebra plays an important role both in physics and in mathematics. For example see the book [8] and [10].

The Virasoro algebra acts on any (except when the level is negative of dual coxeter number) highest weight module of the affine Lie-algebra through the use of famous Sugawara operators. It is well known that affine Lie-algebras admit representation on the Fock space and hence admits representation of the Virasoro algebra. Thus the semidirect product of Virasoro algebra and affine Kac–Moody Lie-algebra with common center is an interesting object to study. The generalization of this classical object is the subject of the current paper.

[☆] This work is supported in part by NSF of China (No. 10271076).

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: cpjiang@sjtu.edu.cn (C. Jiang).

The affine Kac–Moody Lie-algebra is the universal central extension of algebraic maps from one-dimensional torus to finite-dimensional simple Lie-algebra \mathcal{G} . The Virasoro Lie-algebra is the universal central extension of Lie algebra of diffeomorphisms of one-dimensional torus. Both algebras can be defined in an algebraic way as the universal central extension of $\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ for affine Lie-algebra and the universal central extension of $\text{Der } \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ for Virasoro algebra.

The generalization of the affine Kac–Moody Lie-algebra is the so-called toroidal Lie-algebra which can be defined as the universal central extension of $\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t_0^{\pm 1}, t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_v^{\pm 1}]$. To generalize Virasoro algebra we have to first note that $\text{Der } \mathbb{C}[t_0^{\pm 1}, t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_v^{\pm 1}]$ is centrally closed for $v \geq 1$ [12]. Nevertheless $\text{Der } \mathbb{C}[t_0^{\pm 1}, t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_v^{\pm 1}]$ has an interesting abelian extension, which has emerged while generalizing the vertex operator construction on the Fock space in [16]. It is interesting to note that the abelian part is precisely the center of the toroidal Lie-algebra. Thus the semi-direct product of $\text{Der } \mathbb{C}[t_0^{\pm 1}, t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_v^{\pm 1}]$ and $\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t_0^{\pm 1}, t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_v^{\pm 1}]$ with common extension which is denoted by τ and called full toroidal Lie-algebra has emerged as an interesting mathematical object.

The first important question is to construct a representation for τ through known methods. Several attempts have been made in [16,4,5]. Eventually in a remarkable paper [6] Billig succeeded in constructing a class representations for τ using vertex operator algebras. These modules are irreducible integrable and have finite-dimensional weight spaces.

The purpose of this paper is to classify irreducible integrable modules for τ with finite-dimensional weight spaces. The proof of our result depends heavily on [14,15,9]. In [9] the classification of irreducible integrable modules for a certain proper subalgebra has been attempted and the classification problem has been reduced to the classification of $(A, \text{Der } A)$ modules where $A = \mathbb{C}[t_0^{\pm 1}, t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_v^{\pm 1}]$. In the present work using similar methods we have reduced the problem for τ to the problem for $(A, \text{Der } A)$ modules. The classification of irreducible $(A, \text{Der } A)$ modules with finite-dimensional weight spaces is now available in [15]. Putting the above results together we have classified irreducible integrable modules for τ in Theorem 3.3 for the non-zero center case. The zero center case has been given in Theorem 4.3.

2. Basic concepts and results

Let $\dot{\mathfrak{g}}$ denote a finite-dimensional simple Lie-algebra over \mathbb{C} , $\dot{\mathfrak{h}}$ a Cartan subalgebra, $\dot{\Delta}$ the root system of $\dot{\mathfrak{g}}$, and $\dot{\Delta}_+$ ($\dot{\Delta}_-$) the set of positive roots (negative roots). Then $\dot{\mathfrak{g}} = \dot{\mathfrak{h}} \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in \dot{\Delta}} \dot{\mathfrak{g}}_{\alpha}$. For $\alpha \in \dot{\Delta}$, let $\alpha^{\vee} \in \dot{\mathfrak{h}}$ be such that $\alpha(\alpha^{\vee}) = 2$. Let $e_{\alpha} \in \dot{\mathfrak{g}}_{\alpha}$, $e_{-\alpha} \in \dot{\mathfrak{g}}_{-\alpha}$ be such that $[e_{\alpha}, e_{-\alpha}] = \alpha^{\vee}$, $[\alpha^{\vee}, e_{\alpha}] = 2e_{\alpha}$, $[\alpha^{\vee}, e_{-\alpha}] = -2e_{-\alpha}$. Let

$$\dot{\mathfrak{g}}_+ = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \dot{\Delta}_+} \dot{\mathfrak{g}}_{\alpha}, \quad \dot{\mathfrak{g}}_- = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \dot{\Delta}_-} \dot{\mathfrak{g}}_{\alpha}, \quad \dot{Q}_+ = \sum_{i=1}^l \mathbb{Z}_+ \alpha_i, \quad \mathbb{Z}_+ = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$$

Let $A = \mathbb{C}[t_0^{\pm 1}, t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_v^{\pm 1}]$ ($v \geq 1$) be the ring of Laurent polynomials in commuting variables t_0, t_1, \dots, t_v . For $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_v) \in \mathbb{Z}^v$, $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, we denote $t_0^{n_0} t_1^{n_1} \dots t_v^{n_v}$ by

$t_0^{n_0} t^{\mathbf{n}}$. Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \dot{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes A$ be the tensor product of $\dot{\mathfrak{g}}$ and A with the Lie bracket:

$$[x_1 \otimes f_1, x_2 \otimes f_2] = [x_1, x_2] \otimes f_1 f_2,$$

where $x_1, x_2 \in \dot{\mathfrak{g}}$, $f_1, f_2 \in A$. Then $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ can be viewed as the algebra of $\dot{\mathfrak{g}}$ -valued polynomial functions on a torus (see [13] for discussion of integrable modules of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$). Let \mathcal{K} be the free \mathcal{A} -module with basis $\{k_0, k_1, \dots, k_v\}$ and let $d\mathcal{K}$ be the subspace spanned by all elements of the form $\sum_{i=0}^v r_i t_0^{r_0} t^{\mathbf{r}} k_i$, for $(r_0, \mathbf{r}) = (r_0, r_1, \dots, r_v) \in \mathbb{Z}^{v+1}$. Let $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{K}/d\mathcal{K}$ and denote the image of $t_0^{r_0} t^{\mathbf{r}} k_i$ still by itself. Then \mathcal{H} is spanned by the elements $\{t_0^{r_0} t^{\mathbf{r}} k_p | p = 0, 1, \dots, v, r_0 \in \mathbb{Z}, \mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{Z}^v\}$ with the following relations:

$$\sum_{p=0}^v r_p t_0^{r_0} t^{\mathbf{r}} k_p = 0. \quad (2.1)$$

The toroidal Lie algebra associated to $\dot{\mathfrak{g}}$ is

$$\hat{\mathfrak{t}} = \dot{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes A \oplus \mathcal{H}$$

with the bracket:

$$[g_1 \otimes f_1, g_2 \otimes f_2] = [g_1, g_2] \otimes f_1 f_2 + (g_1 | g_2) \sum_{p=0}^v (d_p(f_1) f_2) k_p \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$[\hat{\mathfrak{t}}, \mathcal{H}] = 0, \quad (2.3)$$

$(\cdot | \cdot)$ is the normal invariant symmetric bilinear form on $\dot{\mathfrak{g}}$ [11]. d_p is the degree derivation of A , i.e.,

$$d_p = t_p \frac{d}{dt_p}, \quad p = 0, 1, \dots, v.$$

Let \mathcal{D} be the Lie-algebra of derivations on A . Then

$$\mathcal{D} = \left\{ \sum_{p=0}^v f_p(t_0, t_1, \dots, t_v) d_p | f_p(t_0, t_1, \dots, t_v) \in A \right\}.$$

For $D \in \mathcal{D}$, D can be naturally extended to a derivation on the tensor product $\dot{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes A$ by [3]

$$D(x \otimes f) = x \otimes Df, \quad x \in \dot{\mathfrak{g}}, \quad f \in A$$

and D has a unique extension to the universal covering algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{t}}$ of $\dot{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes A$ by

$$t_0^{m_0} t^{\mathbf{m}} d_a(t_0^{n_0} t^{\mathbf{n}} k_b) = n_a t_0^{m_0+n_0} t^{\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n}} k_b + \delta_{ab} \sum_{p=0}^v m_p t_0^{m_0+n_0} t^{\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n}} k_p.$$

It is known that the algebra \mathcal{D} admits two non-trivial 2-cocycles with values in \mathcal{K} (see [4]):

$$\phi_1(t_0^{m_0} t^{\mathbf{m}} d_a, t_0^{n_0} t^{\mathbf{n}} d_b) = -n_a m_b \sum_{p=0}^v m_p t_0^{m_0+n_0} t^{\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n}} k_p,$$

$$\phi_2(t_0^{m_0} t^{\mathbf{m}} d_a, t_0^{n_0} t^{\mathbf{n}} d_b) = m_a n_b \sum_{p=0}^v m_p t_0^{m_0+n_0} t^{\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n}} k_p.$$

Let ϕ be an arbitrary linear combination of ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 . Then there is a corresponding Lie-algebra

$$\tau = \dot{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes A \oplus \mathcal{K} \oplus \mathcal{D}$$

with the Lie bracket (2.1)–(2.2) and the following:

$$[t_0^{m_0} t^{\mathbf{m}} d_a, t_0^{n_0} t^{\mathbf{n}} k_b] = n_a t_0^{m_0+n_0} t^{\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n}} k_b + \delta_{ab} \sum_{p=0}^v m_p t_0^{m_0+n_0} t^{\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n}} k_p, \quad (2.4)$$

$$[t_0^{m_0} t^{\mathbf{m}} d_a, t_0^{n_0} t^{\mathbf{n}} d_b] = n_a t_0^{m_0+n_0} t^{\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n}} d_b - m_b t_0^{m_0+n_0} t^{\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n}} d_a + \phi(t_0^{m_0} t^{\mathbf{m}} d_a, t_0^{n_0} t^{\mathbf{n}} d_b), \quad (2.5)$$

$$[t_0^{m_0} t^{\mathbf{m}} d_a, x \otimes t_0^{n_0} t^{\mathbf{n}}] = n_a x \otimes t_0^{m_0+n_0} t^{\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n}}. \quad (2.6)$$

We call τ the full toroidal Lie-algebra associated to $\dot{\mathfrak{g}}$ and ϕ . Let

$$\mathfrak{h} = \dot{\mathfrak{h}} \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^v \mathbb{C} k_i \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^v \mathbb{C} d_i \right). \quad (2.7)$$

Then \mathfrak{h} is an abelian Lie subalgebra of τ . Let $\delta_i, A_i \in \mathfrak{h}^* (i = 0, 1, \dots, v)$ be such that

$$A_i(\dot{\mathfrak{h}}) = 0, \quad A_i(k_j) = \delta_{ij}, \quad A_i(d_j) = 0, \quad i, j = 0, 1, \dots, v, \quad (2.8)$$

$$\delta_i(\dot{\mathfrak{h}}) = 0, \quad \delta_i(k_j) = 0, \quad \delta_i(d_j) = \delta_{ij}, \quad i, j = 0, 1, \dots, v \quad (2.9)$$

and denote $\sum_{i=1}^v m_i \delta_i$ by $\delta_{\mathbf{m}}, \mathbf{m} = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_v) \in \mathbb{Z}^v$. Then τ has the root space decomposition with respect to \mathfrak{h} as follows:

$$\tau = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\beta \in \Delta} \tau_{\beta} \right),$$

where $\Delta = \dot{\Delta} \cup \{\alpha + m_0 \delta_0 + \delta_{\mathbf{m}} | \alpha \in \dot{\Delta} \cup \{0\}, \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^v, m_0 \in \mathbb{Z}, (m_0, \mathbf{m}) \neq (0, \mathbf{0})\}$ and

$$\tau_{\alpha+m_0\delta_0+\delta_{\mathbf{m}}} = \dot{\mathfrak{g}}_{\alpha} \otimes t_0^{m_0} t^{\mathbf{m}},$$

$$\tau_{m_0\delta_0+\delta_{\mathbf{m}}} = \dot{\mathfrak{h}} \otimes t_0^{m_0} t^{\mathbf{m}} \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^v \mathbb{C} t_0^{m_0} t^{\mathbf{m}} k_i \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^v \mathbb{C} t_0^{m_0} t^{\mathbf{m}} d_i \right).$$

Let

$$\mathfrak{b} = \mathcal{K} \oplus \mathcal{D}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{b}_+ &= \sum_{p=0}^v t_0 \mathbb{C}[t_0, t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_v^{\pm 1}] k_p \oplus \sum_{p=0}^v t_0 \mathbb{C}[t_0, t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_v^{\pm 1}] d_p, \\ \mathfrak{b}_- &= \sum_{p=0}^v t_0^{-1} \mathbb{C}[t_0^{-1}, t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_v^{\pm 1}] k_p \oplus \sum_{p=0}^v t_0^{-1} \mathbb{C}[t_0^{-1}, t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_v^{\pm 1}] d_p, \\ \mathfrak{b}_0 &= \sum_{p=0}^v \mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_v^{\pm 1}] k_p \oplus \sum_{p=0}^v \mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_v^{\pm 1}] d_p, \\ \tau_+ &= \dot{\mathfrak{g}}_+ \otimes \mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_v^{\pm 1}] \oplus \dot{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes t_0 \mathbb{C}[t_0, t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_v^{\pm 1}] \oplus \mathfrak{b}_+, \\ \tau_- &= \dot{\mathfrak{g}}_- \otimes \mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_v^{\pm 1}] \oplus \dot{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes t_0^{-1} \mathbb{C}[t_0^{-1}, t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_v^{\pm 1}] \oplus \mathfrak{b}_-, \\ \tau_0 &= \dot{\mathfrak{h}} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_v^{\pm 1}] \oplus \mathfrak{b}_0. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{b} &= \mathfrak{b}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{b}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{b}_-, \\ \tau &= \tau_+ \oplus \tau_0 \oplus \tau_-. \end{aligned}$$

Extend $\alpha \in \dot{\Delta}$ to the element in \mathfrak{h}^* by $\alpha(k_i) = \alpha(d_i) = 0$ ($0 \leq i \leq v$) and the normal non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form $(\cdot | \cdot)$ on \mathfrak{h}^* to a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form on \mathfrak{h}^* by

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha_i | \delta_k) &= (\alpha_i | A_k) = 0, \quad 1 \leq i, \quad j \leq 1, \\ (\delta_k | \delta_p) &= (A_k | A_p) = 0, \quad (\delta_k | A_p) = \delta_{kp}, \quad 0 \leq k, \quad p \leq v. \end{aligned}$$

For $\gamma = \alpha + m_0 \delta_0 + \delta_{\mathbf{m}} \in \dot{\Delta}$, where $\alpha \in \dot{\Delta}$, γ is called a real root, if $(\gamma | \gamma) \neq 0$. Denote the set of all real roots by Δ^{re} . Define

$$\gamma^\vee = \alpha^\vee + \frac{2}{(\alpha | \alpha)} \sum_{i=0}^v m_i k_i.$$

Then

$$\gamma(\gamma^\vee) = \alpha(\alpha^\vee) = 2.$$

Let γ be a real root. Define reflection on \mathfrak{h}^* by

$$r_\gamma(\lambda) = \lambda - \lambda(\gamma^\vee)\gamma, \quad \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*.$$

Let \mathcal{W} be the Weyl group generated by $\{r_\gamma | \gamma \in \Delta^{\text{re}}\}$. Then $(\cdot | \cdot)$ defined above is \mathcal{W} -invariant. See [1,2] for some interesting results on Weyl groups in the context of Toroidal Lie-algebras (or more generally the Extended Affine Lie-algebras).

Definition 2.1. A module V of τ is called integrable if

- (1) V admits a weight space decomposition, i.e.,

$$V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*} V_\lambda,$$

where $V_\lambda = \{v \in V \mid h.v = \lambda(h)v, \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}\}$. Denote by $P(V)$ the set of all weights.

- (2) For $\alpha \in \Delta$, $m_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^v$, $e_\alpha \otimes t_0^{m_0} t^\mathbf{m}$ is locally nilpotent on V .

Let \mathcal{V}_{fin} be the category of irreducible integrable τ -modules with finite-dimensional weight spaces. Similar to the proof of Lemma 2.3 in [14], we can obtain the following results (one can also see [7]):

Lemma 2.1. Let $V \in \mathcal{V}_{\text{fin}}$. Then

- (1) $P(V)$ is \mathcal{W} -invariant.
- (2) $\dim V_\lambda = \dim V_{\omega\lambda}$, $\omega \in \mathcal{W}$, $\lambda \in P(V)$.
- (3) For $\alpha \in \Delta^{\text{re}}$, $\lambda \in P(V)$, we have $\lambda(\alpha^\vee) \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- (4) Let $\alpha \in \Delta^{\text{re}}$, $\lambda \in P(V)$. If $\lambda(\alpha^\vee) > 0$, then $\lambda - \alpha \in P(V)$.
- (5) For $\lambda \in P(V)$, $\lambda(k_i)$ is a constant integer, $i = 0, 1, \dots, v$.

By Lemma 2.1, we can assume that

$$\lambda(k_i) = c_i, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, v, \quad \forall \lambda \in P(V), \quad c_i \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (2.10)$$

Throughout the paper, c_i ($i = 0, 1, \dots, v$) are always defined by (2.10). For $\underline{m} = (m_0, \mathbf{m})$, denote $t_0^{m_0} t^\mathbf{m}$ by $t^{\underline{m}}$.

Lemma 2.2. Let $A = (a_{ij})$ ($0 \leq i, j \leq v$) be a $(v+1) \times (v+1)$ -matrix such that $\det A = 1$ and $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then there exists an automorphism σ of τ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(x \otimes t^{\underline{m}}) &= x \otimes t^{\underline{m}A^T}, \\ \sigma(t^{\underline{m}} k_j) &= \sum_{p=0}^v a_{pj} t^{\underline{m}A^T} k_p, \quad 0 \leq j \leq v, \\ \sigma(t^{\underline{m}} d_j) &= \sum_{p=0}^v b_{jp} t^{\underline{m}A^T} d_p, \quad 0 \leq j \leq v, \end{aligned}$$

where $B = (b_{ij}) = A^{-1}$.

Let $V \in \mathcal{V}_{\text{fin}}$. If V has non-zero central charges, it follows from Lemma 2.2 that we can assume that $c_0 \neq 0$, $c_1 = \dots = c_v = 0$. Similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1 in [9], we have

Theorem 2.1. *Let $V \in \mathcal{V}_{\text{fin}}$. Then*

(1) *If $c_0 > 0$ and $c_1 = c_2 = \cdots = c_v = 0$, then*

$$\{v \in V \mid \tau_+ \cdot v = 0\} \neq 0.$$

(2) *If $c_0 < 0$ and $c_1 = c_2 = \cdots = c_v = 0$, then*

$$\{v \in V \mid \tau_- \cdot v = 0\} \neq 0.$$

(3) *If $c_0 = c_1 = \cdots = c_v = 0$, then there exist non-zero elements $v, w \in V$ such that*

$$(\dot{\mathfrak{g}}_+ \otimes A) \cdot v = 0, \quad (\dot{\mathfrak{g}}_- \otimes A) \cdot w = 0.$$

3. Modules of τ in \mathcal{V}_{fin} with non-zero central charges

In this section, we discuss the structure of $V \in \mathcal{V}_{\text{fin}}$ which has non-zero central charges. By Lemma 2.2, we can assume that $c_0 \neq 0$, $c_1 = c_2 = \cdots = c_v = 0$. Let

$$T = \{v \in V \mid \tau_+ \cdot v = 0\} \text{ if } c_0 > 0 \quad \text{or} \quad T = \{v \in V \mid \tau_- \cdot v = 0\} \text{ if } c_0 < 0.$$

Obviously, T is a τ_0 -module. Since V is irreducible, it follows that

$$V = U(\tau_-) \cdot T \quad \text{or} \quad V = U(\tau_+) \cdot T.$$

Therefore T is irreducible as a τ_0 -module. Let

$$T = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^v} T_{\mathbf{m}}, \tag{3.1}$$

where $T_{\mathbf{m}} = \{v \in T \mid d_i(v) = (\lambda_0(d_i) + m_i)v\}$, for a fixed $\lambda_0 \in P(V)$, $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_v) \in \mathbb{Z}^v$. Then T is \mathbb{Z}^v -graded. By Theorem 2.1 and the fact that V has finite-dimensional weight spaces, $T_{\mathbf{m}}$ is finite dimensional.

Let

$$\mathfrak{g}_a = \dot{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t_0^{\pm 1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}k_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}d_0.$$

Then \mathfrak{g}_a is an affine Lie subalgebra of τ . Let

$$\mathfrak{h}_a = \dot{\mathfrak{h}} \oplus \mathbb{C}k_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}d_0.$$

Similar to the proof in [9], we can deduce that

Lemma 3.1. *For any $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^v$, $v \in T \setminus \{0\}$, we have $t^{\mathbf{m}}k_0v \neq 0$, and*

$$\dim T_{\mathbf{n}} = \dim T_{\mathbf{m}} = n$$

for all $\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^v$.

Let $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ be a basis of T_0 . Set

$$v_i(\mathbf{m}) = \frac{1}{c_0} t^{\mathbf{m}} k_0 \cdot v_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (3.2)$$

Then $\{v_1(\mathbf{m}), v_2(\mathbf{m}), \dots, v_n(\mathbf{m})\}$ is a basis of $T_{\mathbf{m}}$. Assume that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{c_0} t^{\mathbf{m}} k_0 (v_1(\mathbf{n}), v_2(\mathbf{n}), \dots, v_n(\mathbf{n})) \\ &= (v_1(\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{n}), v_2(\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{n}), \dots, v_n(\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{n})) B_{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

By Lemma 3.1, $B_{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}}$ is a $n \times n$ invertible matrix and

$$B_{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}} B_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}} = B_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}} B_{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}}, \quad B_{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}} = B_{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}}.$$

We can assume that $\{B_{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}} | \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^v\}$ are all upper triangular matrices.

Lemma 3.2. *For any $\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^v$, $B_{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}} - I$ is a strictly upper triangular matrix.*

Proof. It is similar to the proof of Lemmas 3.5–3.9. \square

For $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_v)$, $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_v) \in \mathbb{Z}^v$, assume that

$$t^{\mathbf{m}} d_a (v_1(\mathbf{n}), v_2(\mathbf{n}), \dots, v_n(\mathbf{n})) = (v_1(\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{n}), v_2(\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{n}), \dots, v_n(\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{n})) A_{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}}^{(a)},$$

where $A_{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}}^{(a)} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. Since $[t^{\mathbf{m}} d_a, t^{\mathbf{n}} k_0] = n_a t^{\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{n}} k_0$, $1 \leq a \leq v$, we have

$$A_{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}}^{(a)} = B_{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}} A_{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{0}}^{(a)} + n_a I, \quad 1 \leq a \leq v. \quad (3.4)$$

Theorem 3.1. *For all $\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^v$, $h \in \mathfrak{h}_a$ and $p = 1, 2, \dots, v$, we have*

$$t^{\mathbf{m}} k_p \cdot T = 0, \quad (3.5)$$

$$t^{\mathbf{m}} k_0 t^{\mathbf{n}} k_0 = c_0 t^{\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{n}} k_0, \quad B_{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}} = I, \quad (3.6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & h \otimes t^{\mathbf{m}} (v_1(\mathbf{n}), v_2(\mathbf{n}), \dots, v_n(\mathbf{n})) \\ &= A(h) (v_1(\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{n}), v_2(\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{n}), \dots, v_n(\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{n})), \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & t^{\mathbf{m}} d_0 (v_1(\mathbf{n}), v_2(\mathbf{n}), \dots, v_n(\mathbf{n})) \\ &= A(d_0) (v_1(\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{n}), v_2(\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{n}), \dots, v_n(\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{n})), \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

where $A \in P(V)$.

Proof. For $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_v) \in \mathbb{Z}^v$. Assume that

$$t^{\mathbf{m}} k_p (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) = (v_1(\mathbf{m}), v_2(\mathbf{m}), \dots, v_n(\mathbf{m})) C_{\mathbf{m}, p}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & t^{\mathbf{n}}k_q t^{\mathbf{m}}k_p(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) \\
 &= t^{\mathbf{n}}k_q(v_1(\mathbf{m}), v_2(\mathbf{m}), \dots, v_n(\mathbf{m}))C_{\mathbf{m},p} \\
 &= \frac{1}{c_0} t^{\mathbf{m}}k_0 t^{\mathbf{n}}k_q(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)C_{\mathbf{m},p} \\
 &= \frac{1}{c_0} t^{\mathbf{m}}k_0(v_1(\mathbf{n}), v_2(\mathbf{n}), \dots, v_n(\mathbf{n}))C_{\mathbf{n},q}C_{\mathbf{m},p} \\
 &= \frac{1}{c_0} t^{\mathbf{m}}k_0 \frac{1}{c_0} t^{\mathbf{n}}k_0(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)C_{\mathbf{n},q}C_{\mathbf{m},p} = t^{\mathbf{m}}k_p t^{\mathbf{n}}k_q(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) \\
 &= \frac{1}{c_0} t^{\mathbf{n}}k_0 \frac{1}{c_0} t^{\mathbf{m}}k_0(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)C_{\mathbf{m},p}C_{\mathbf{n},p}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$C_{\mathbf{n},q}C_{\mathbf{m},p} = C_{\mathbf{m},p}C_{\mathbf{n},q}.$$

This means that the Lie-algebra \mathcal{C} spanned by $\{C_{\mathbf{m},p} | \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^v, 1 \leq p \leq v\}$ is a commutative Lie-algebra. Let $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_v) \in \mathbb{Z}^v$ be such that $m_a \neq 0$, for some $1 \leq a \leq v$. Since

$$[t^{-\mathbf{m}}d_a, t^{\mathbf{m}}k_p] = 0,$$

it follows that

$$(A_{-\mathbf{m},0}^{(a)} + m_a B_{-\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m}}^{-1})C_{\mathbf{m},p} = C_{\mathbf{m},p}A_{-\mathbf{m},0}^{(a)}.$$

If $C_{\mathbf{m},p}$ is invertible, then $A_{-\mathbf{m},0}^{(a)}$ and $A_{-\mathbf{m},0}^{(a)} + m_a B_{-\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m}}^{-1}$ are similar matrices, which is impossible, since m_a is non-zero and $B_{-\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m}}^{-1}$ is an upper triangular matrix with elements on the diagonal line being all one. We deduce that $t^{\mathbf{m}}k_p$ is locally nilpotent. Note that

$$(t^{\mathbf{m}}k_p)^s(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) = \left(\frac{1}{c_0} t^{\mathbf{m}}k_0\right)^s(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)C_{\mathbf{m},p}^s,$$

so $C_{\mathbf{m},p}$ is a nilpotent matrix. Since \mathcal{C} is commutative, there exists a non-zero element v in T such that

$$t^{\mathbf{m}}k_p(v) = 0, \quad \forall \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^v, \quad 1 \leq p \leq v.$$

Let $T_1 = \{v \in T | t^{\mathbf{m}}k_p(v) = 0, \forall \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^v, 1 \leq p \leq v\}$. Then T_1 is a non-zero submodule of τ_0 -module T and (3.5) follows from the fact that T is irreducible. The proof of (3.6)–(3.8) is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [9]. \square

By Theorem 3.1, T is an irreducible \mathfrak{b}_0 -module. Let $A_v = \mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm 1}, t_2^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_v^{\pm 1}]$, and Der A_v the derivation algebra of A_v with the following Lie bracket:

$$[t^{\mathbf{m}}d_a, t^{\mathbf{n}}d_b] = n_a t^{\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n}}d_b - m_b t^{\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n}}d_a.$$

Extending the above Lie bracket to $A_v \oplus \text{Der } A_v$ by

$$[t^{\mathbf{m}}, t^{\mathbf{n}}] = 0, \quad [t^{\mathbf{m}} d_a, t^{\mathbf{n}}] = n_a t^{\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n}}.$$

Then $A_v \oplus \text{Der } A_v$ is a Lie-algebra. Now define the action of A_v on T by

$$\begin{aligned} t^{\mathbf{m}}(v_1(\mathbf{n}), v_2(\mathbf{n}), \dots, v_n(\mathbf{n})) \\ = (v_1(\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{n}), v_2(\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{n}), \dots, v_n(\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{n})), \quad \forall \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^v. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see from (3.4) that T is an irreducible \mathbb{Z}^v -graded $A_v \oplus \text{Der } A_v$ -module with finite-dimensional weight spaces.

Theorem 3.2 (Rao [15]). *As $A_v \oplus \text{Der } A_v$ -module, T is isomorphic to $F^\alpha(\psi, b)$, for some (α, ψ, b) , where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_v) \in \mathbb{C}^v$, $b \in \mathbb{C}$, $F^\alpha(\psi, b) = V(\psi, b) \otimes A_v$ is an irreducible $A_v \oplus \text{Der } A_v$ -module such that $V(\psi, b)$ is a n -dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{gl}_v(\mathbb{C})$ -module, and*

$$\psi(I) = b \text{id}_{V(\psi, b)},$$

$$t^{\mathbf{r}} d_p(w \otimes t^{\mathbf{m}}) = (m_p + \alpha_p)w \otimes t^{\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{m}} + \sum_{i=1}^v r_i \psi(E_{ip})w \otimes t^{\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{m}},$$

$$t^{\mathbf{m}}(w \otimes t^{\mathbf{n}}) = w \otimes t^{\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n}},$$

where $w \in V(\psi, b)$. Therefore T is isomorphic to $F^\alpha(\psi, b)$, for some (α, ψ, b) as $\text{Der } A_v$ -modules.

Let $F^\alpha(\psi, b)$ be an irreducible module of $A_v \oplus \text{Der } A_v$ defined as in Theorem 3.2, and $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_a^*$ be such that $\Lambda(k_0) = c_0 \neq 0$. Extend $F^\alpha(\psi, b)$ to be a τ_0 -module as follows:

$$t^{\mathbf{r}} k_0(w \otimes t^{\mathbf{m}}) = c_0 w \otimes t^{\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{m}}, \quad t^{\mathbf{r}} k_p(w \otimes t^{\mathbf{m}}) = 0, \quad 1 \leq p \leq v,$$

$$h \otimes t^{\mathbf{r}}(w \otimes t^{\mathbf{m}}) = \Lambda(h)w \otimes t^{\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{m}}, \quad t^{\mathbf{r}} d_0(w \otimes t^{\mathbf{m}}) = \Lambda(d_0)w \otimes t^{\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{m}},$$

where $h \in \dot{\mathfrak{h}}$. Denote $F^\alpha(\psi, b)$ by $F^\alpha(\psi, b, \Lambda)$ as the module of τ_0 . Let τ_+ (if $c_0 > 0$) or τ_- (if $c_0 < 0$) act on $F^\alpha(\psi, b, \Lambda)$ by zero and so we have the induced module for τ :

$$F_\tau^\alpha(\psi, b, \Lambda) = \text{Ind}_{\tau_+ + \tau_0}^\tau(F^\alpha(\psi, b, \Lambda)) \quad \text{if } c_0 > 0,$$

or

$$F_\tau^\alpha(\psi, b, \Lambda) = \text{Ind}_{\tau_- + \tau_0}^\tau(F^\alpha(\psi, b, \Lambda)) \quad \text{if } c_0 < 0.$$

Theorem 3.3. *Let $F_\tau^\alpha(\psi, b, \Lambda)$ ($\Lambda(k_0) = c_0 \neq 0$) be defined as above. Then*

- (1) *There is a maximal one among the submodules of $F_\tau^\alpha(\psi, b, \Lambda)$ intersecting $F^\alpha(\psi, b, \Lambda)$ trivially. We denote the maximal submodule by $F_\tau^\alpha(\psi, b, \Lambda)'$.*

- (2) $\bar{F}_\tau^\alpha(\psi, b, \Lambda) = F_\tau^\alpha(\psi, b, \Lambda)/F_\tau^\alpha(\psi, b, \Lambda)'$ is an irreducible τ -module and $\bar{F}_\tau^\alpha(\psi, b, \Lambda)$ is integrable if and only if Λ or $-\Lambda$ is a dominant integral weight of \mathfrak{g}_a .
- (3) Let $V \in \mathcal{V}_{\text{fin}}$ be such that $c_0 \neq 0, c_1 = c_2 = \dots = c_v = 0$. Then $V \cong \bar{F}_\tau^\alpha(\psi, b, \Lambda)$ for some $(\alpha, \Lambda, \psi, b)$.

Proof. (1) is standard. (3) we have already seen this. (2) We will assume that $c_0 > 0$ as the other case is similar. Let $F = \bar{F}_\tau^\alpha(\psi, b, \Lambda)$. F is integrable implies that Λ is dominant integral is easy to see and very standard. If Λ is dominant integral then F is integrable is non-trivial and does not follow from our work. But fortunately F has been constructed explicitly by Billig [6] through the use of vertex operator algebras. In particular it is easy to verify that F is integrable if Λ is dominant integral from [6] but one should be familiar with vertex operator algebras notation. \square

4. The structure of V with $c_0 = \dots = c_v = 0$

In this section, we assume that $c_0 = \dots = c_v = 0$. By Theorem 2.1,

$$T = \{v \in V | (\dot{\mathfrak{g}}_+ \otimes A)v = 0\} \neq \{0\}. \quad (4.1)$$

Obviously, T is a $(\mathfrak{b} + \dot{\mathfrak{h}} \otimes A)$ -module. Since V is irreducible it follows that

$$h(w) = \Lambda(h)w, \quad \forall h \in \dot{\mathfrak{h}}, \quad \forall w \in T$$

for some $\Lambda \in P(V)$. If $\Lambda|_{\dot{\mathfrak{h}}} = 0$, then $(\dot{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes A)V = 0$, and V is an irreducible \mathfrak{b} -module. In the following discussion, we assume that $\Lambda|_{\dot{\mathfrak{h}}} \neq 0$, so there exists $h_0 \in \dot{\mathfrak{h}}$ such that $\Lambda(h_0) \neq 0$. Let

$$T = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{v+1}} T_{\mathbf{m}},$$

where $T_{\mathbf{m}} = \{v \in T | d_p(v) = (\Lambda(d_p) + m_p)v, 0 \leq p \leq v\}$, $\mathbf{m} = (m_0, \dots, m_v)$.

Considering $h_0 \otimes t^{\mathbf{m}} (\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{v+1})$ instead of $t^m k_0 (\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^v)$, quite similar to the proof of Lemmas 3.1–3.3, we can deduce that

Lemma 4.1. For $h_0 \otimes t^{\mathbf{m}} \in \tau$, if there exists a non-zero element w in T such that $h_0 \otimes t^{\mathbf{m}} w = 0$, then $h_0 \otimes t^{\mathbf{m}}$ is locally nilpotent on T and $\dim T_{\mathbf{n}} > \dim T_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{m}}$.

Lemma 4.2. If both $h_0 \otimes t^{\mathbf{m}}$ and $h_0 \otimes t^{\mathbf{n}}$ are locally nilpotent on T , then so is $h_0 \otimes t^{\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n}}$. If $h_0 \otimes t^{\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n}}$ is locally nilpotent, then $h_0 \otimes t^{\mathbf{m}}$ or $h_0 \otimes t^{\mathbf{n}}$ is locally nilpotent.

Lemma 4.3. For any $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{v+1}$, $v \in T \setminus \{0\}$, we have $h_0 \otimes t^{\mathbf{m}} v \neq 0$, and

$$\dim T_{\mathbf{n}} = \dim T_{\mathbf{m}} = n$$

for all $\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^{v+1}$.

Proof. Suppose the lemma is false. By Lemma 4.1, there exists an element in $\{h_0 \otimes t_i^{\pm 1} | 0 \leq i \leq v\}$ which is locally nilpotent. Assume that $h_0 \otimes t_1$ is locally nilpotent, by Lemma 4.2, $\{h_0 \otimes t_1^k | k \geq 1\}$ are all locally nilpotent too. Therefore

$$T_{\mathbf{r}+(k,0,\dots,0)} = 0 \quad (4.2)$$

for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$, where \mathbf{r} satisfies $\dim T_{\mathbf{r}} = \min\{\dim T_{\mathbf{n}} > 0 | \mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{Z}^{v+1}\}$. Let v be a non-zero element in $T_{\mathbf{r}}$, and $\{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s\} \subset \mathbf{N}$ such that $n_i \neq n_j$, for $i \neq j$. We say that $\{(e_{-\alpha} \otimes t_1^{n_i})(h_0 \otimes t_1^{-n_i})v | 1 \leq i \leq s\} (\alpha \in \dot{\Delta}_+, \Lambda(\alpha^\vee) \neq 0, 0 \neq e_\alpha \in \dot{\mathfrak{g}}_\alpha)$ are linearly independent. In fact, assume that

$$\sum_{i=1}^s a_i (e_{-\alpha} \otimes t_1^{n_i})(h_0 \otimes t_1^{-n_i})v = 0.$$

Then

$$t_1^{n_j} d_1 e_\alpha \otimes t_1^{-n_j} \left(\sum_{i=1}^s a_i (e_{-\alpha} \otimes t_1^{n_i})(h_0 \otimes t_1^{-n_i})v \right) = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, s.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & t_1^{n_j} d_1 \sum_{i=1}^s (e_{-\alpha} \otimes t_1^{n_i})(e_\alpha \otimes t_1^{-n_j})(h_0 \otimes t_1^{-n_i})v \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^s a_i (-n_j + n_i) \alpha^\vee \otimes t_1^{n_i} h_0 \otimes t_1^{-n_i} v \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^s a_i \alpha^\vee \otimes t_1^{-n_j+n_i} (-n_i) h_0 \otimes t_1^{n_j-n_i} v \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^s a_i \alpha^\vee \otimes t_1^{-n_j+n_i} (h_0 \otimes t_1^{-n_i}) t_1^{n_j} d_1 v = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By (4.1)–(4.2), we have

$$a_j (-n_j) \alpha^\vee \cdot h_0 \cdot v = 0.$$

Therefore

$$a_j = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, s.$$

Since s can be any positive integer, V_μ is infinite dimensional, where $\mu|_{\mathfrak{h}} = \Lambda - \alpha$, $\mu(d_i) = (\Lambda(d_i) + r_i)$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, v$. This contradicts the assumption that V has finite-dimensional weight spaces. Therefore the lemma holds. \square

Let $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m\}$ be a basis of T_0 . Set

$$v_i(\mathbf{m}) = \frac{1}{\Lambda(h_0)} h_0 \otimes t^{\mathbf{m}} \cdot v_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m. \quad (4.3)$$

Then $\{v_1(\mathbf{m}), v_2(\mathbf{m}), \dots, v_m(\mathbf{m})\}$ is a basis of $T_{\mathbf{m}}$. Assume that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\Lambda(h_0)} h_0 \otimes t^{\mathbf{m}}(v_1(\mathbf{n}), v_2(\mathbf{n}), \dots, v_m(\mathbf{n})) \\ &= (v_1(\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{n}), v_2(\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{n}), \dots, v_m(\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{n})) H_{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

By Lemma 4.3, $H_{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}}$ is invertible. Since $c_0 = c_1 = \dots = c_v = 0$, we have

$$H_{-\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{m}} = H_{\mathbf{m}, -\mathbf{m}}.$$

Lemma 4.4. (1) For $\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^{v+1}$, there exist $\lambda_{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}} \in \mathbb{C}$ and a non-zero element $v \in T_0$ such that

$$(h_0 \otimes t^{\mathbf{m}} h_0 \otimes t^{\mathbf{n}} - \lambda_{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}} \Lambda(h_0) h_0 \otimes t^{\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{n}}) \cdot v = 0.$$

(2) $h_0 \otimes t^{\mathbf{m}} h_0 \otimes t^{-\mathbf{m}} - \lambda_{\mathbf{m}, -\mathbf{m}} \Lambda(h_0) h_0 \otimes t^{\mathbf{m}}$ is locally nilpotent on T .

(3) $H_{\mathbf{m}, -\mathbf{m}}$ does not have different eigenvalues.

For $\mathbf{m} = (m_0, m_1, \dots, m_v), \mathbf{n} = (n_0, n_1, \dots, n_v) \in \mathbb{Z}^{v+1}$, assume that

$$t^{\mathbf{m}} d_a(v_1(\mathbf{n}), v_2(\mathbf{n}), \dots, v_m(\mathbf{n})) = (v_1(\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{n}), v_2(\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{n}), \dots, v_m(\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{n})) A_{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}}^{(a)},$$

where $A_{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}}^{(a)} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$. Since $[t^{\mathbf{m}} d_a, h_0 \otimes t^{\mathbf{n}}] = n_a h_0 \otimes t^{\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{n}}, 0 \leq a \leq v$, we have

$$A_{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}}^{(a)} = H_{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}} A_{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{0}}^{(a)} + n_a I, \quad 0 \leq a \leq v.$$

Theorem 4.1. $(t^{\mathbf{m}} k_p) T = 0, \forall \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{v+1}, p = 0, 1, \dots, v$.

Proof. It is similar to the proof of (3.5). \square

Theorem 4.2. For all $\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^{v+1}$ and $h \in \dot{\mathfrak{h}}$, we have

$$h \otimes t^{\mathbf{m}}(v_1(\mathbf{n}), v_2(\mathbf{n}), \dots, v_m(\mathbf{n})) = \Lambda(h)(v_1(\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{n}), v_2(\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{n}), \dots, v_m(\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{n})).$$

Therefore T is isomorphic to $F^\alpha(\psi, b)$, for some (α, ψ, b) , as $A \oplus \text{Der } A$ -modules, where $F^\alpha(\psi, b) = V(\psi, b) \otimes A$ is an irreducible $A \oplus \text{Der } A$ -module such that $V(\psi, b)$ is a m -dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{gl}_{v+1}(\mathbb{C})$ -module, and

$$\psi(I) = b \text{id}_{V(\psi, b)},$$

$$t^{\mathbf{r}} d_p(v \otimes t^{\mathbf{m}}) = (m_p + \alpha_p) v \otimes t^{\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{m}} + \sum_{i=0}^v r_i \psi(E_{i_p}) v \otimes t^{\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{m}}.$$

Let $\Lambda_0 \in \dot{\mathfrak{h}}^*$ be such that $\Lambda_0 \neq 0, (L(\Lambda_0), \pi)$ an irreducible highest weight module of $\dot{\mathfrak{g}}$ with the highest weight Λ_0 and the associated highest weight vector $v_{\Lambda_0}, F^\alpha(\psi, b)$ a

$A \oplus \text{Der } A$ -modules defined above. Let

$$F^\alpha(\psi, b, A_0) = L(A_0) \otimes F^\alpha(\psi, b).$$

We define the action of τ on $F^\alpha(\psi, b, A_0)$ by

$$x \otimes t^{\mathbf{r}}(w \otimes v(\mathbf{m})) = (\pi(x)w) \otimes v(\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{r}),$$

$$t^{\mathbf{r}}k_p(w \otimes v(\mathbf{m})) = 0,$$

$$t^{\mathbf{r}}d_p(w \otimes v(\mathbf{m})) = w \otimes t^{\mathbf{r}}d_p v(\mathbf{m}),$$

where $x \in \dot{\mathfrak{g}}$, $w \in L(A_0)$, $v(\mathbf{m}) = v \otimes t^{\mathbf{m}} \in V(\psi, b) \otimes A$, $\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{v+1}$, $0 \leq p \leq v$. Then $F^\alpha(\psi, b, A_0)$ is an irreducible τ -module.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $F^\alpha(\psi, b, A_0)$ be an irreducible τ -module defined above.*

- (1) $F^\alpha(\psi, b, A_0)$ is integrable if and only if A_0 is a dominant integral weight of $\dot{\mathfrak{g}}$.
- (2) Let $V \in \mathcal{V}_{\text{fin}}$ be such that $c_0 = c_1 = \cdots = c_v = 0$ and $(\dot{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes A)V \neq 0$. Then $V \cong F^\alpha(\psi, b, A_0)$, for some (α, ψ, b, A_0) .

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the referee for invaluable comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] S. Azam, Extended affine Weyl groups, J. Algebra 214 (1999) 571–624.
- [2] S. Azam, Non-reduced extended affine Weyl groups, J. Algebra 269 (2003) 508–527.
- [3] G. Benkart, R. Moody, Derivations, central extensions and affine Lie algebras, Algebras Groups Geom. 3 (1993) 456–492.
- [4] S. Berman, Y. Billig, Irreducible representations for toroidal Lie algebras, Algebra 221 (1999) 188–231.
- [5] Y. Billig, Principal vertex operator representations for toroidal Lie algebras, J. Math. Phys. 39 (1998) 3844–3864.
- [6] Y. Billig, Energy momentum tensor for the toroidal Lie-algebra, arxiv. math. RT/0201313 (2002).
- [7] V. Chari, Integrable representations of affine Lie algebras, Invent. Math. 85 (1986) 317–335.
- [8] P. Di Francesco, P. Mathieu, D. Senechac, Conformal Field Theory, Springer, New York, 1997.
- [9] C. Jiang, D. Meng, Integrable representations for generalized Virasoro-toroidal Lie algebras, J. Algebra 270 (2003) 307–334.
- [10] V.G. Kac, Infinite-Dimensional Lie Algebras, third ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1990.
- [11] R.V. Moody, S. Eswara Rao, T. Yokonuma, Toroidal Lie algebras and vertex representations, Geom. dedicata 35 (1990) 283–307.
- [12] E. Ramos, C.H. Sah, R.E. Shrock, Algebra of diffeomorphisms of the N -torus, J. Math. Phys. 8 (1990) 1805–1816.
- [13] S.E. Rao, Classification of irreducible integrable modules for multi-loop algebras with finite-dimensional weight spaces, J. Algebra 246 (2001) 215–225.

- [14] S.E. Rao, Classification of irreducible integrable modules for toroidal Lie algebras with finite-dimensional weight spaces, *J. Algebra* 277 (2004) 318–348.
- [15] S.E. Rao, Partial classification of modules for Lie algebra of diffeomorphisms of d -dimensional torus, *J. Math. Phys.* 45 (8) (2004) 3322–3333.
- [16] S.E. Rao, R.V. Moody, Vertex representations for N -toroidal Lie algebras and generalization of the Virasoro algebras, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 159 (1994) 239–264.